# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problems Mailbox.

## MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

Attorneys at Law 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000

Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

FAX RECEIVED

JUL - 6 2001

PETITIONS OFFICE

To:

Office of Petitions

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Washington, DC

Facsimile: (703) 308-6916

Telephone: (703) 305-9282

From: Stephen C. Durant

Date:

July 6, 2001

We are transmitting a total of 24 pages (including this page). Original or hard copy to follow if this box is marked \(\sigma\).

If you do not receive all pages, please call 415-268-6904 as soon as possible.

Preparer of this slip has confirmed that facsimile number given is correct.

This facsimile contains confidential information which may also be privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not copy, use, or distribute it. If you have received it in error, please advise Morrison & Foerster LLP immediately by telephone or facsimile and return it promptly by mail.

#### Comments:

Re: U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/747,602

For VIVA

By: Kent GILSON

Our reference no: 40433-20002.00

Attached for your information is a copy of the Petition Under 37 CFR 1.53(e) to Show Receipt of Item in Response to Notice of Incomplete NonProvisional Application, and copies of the supporting Declarations (without exhibits) for the application referenced above.

This Petition was filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on May 4, 2001. Please provide us with the status of the Petition at your earliest convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen C. Durant Reg, No. 31,506

40433-20002.00

#### DOCKETING

**FAX RECEIVED** JUL - 6 2001 **PETITIONS OFFICE** 

40433-20002.00

Attorney Docket:

Applicant(s): Kent L. GILSON Title: VIVA

Mailing Date: USSN: 09/747,602

Filing Date: December 22, 2000

#### Papers enclosed:

8 Transmittal Letter - 2 Pages

Copy of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application Under 37 C.F.R. §1.53(b) - 2 Pages

Fee Transmittal - 1 Page

Petition Under 37 CFR 1.53(e) to Show Receipt of Item In Response to Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Appla. 

Declaration of Stephen C. Durant in Support of Petition & Exhibits 1-2-5 Pages

Declaration of Donalyn Pryor in Support of Petition -3 Pages
Declaration of Charmayne Wilson in Support of Petition -3 Pages

Declaration of Utility Patent Application (signed) - 2 Pages
Copy of Return Receipt Postcard Submitted in the Appla.
Copy of Express Mail Receipte Submitted in the Appla. 1 Page
Copy of Check Submitted in the Appla. 1 Page

Copy of Transmittal Letter Submitted in the Apple. - 2 Pages

Copy of Specification in the Above Referenced Patent Appla. - 144 Pages
Authorization to charge deposit Account in the amount of \$195.00 (\$130.00 penition fee and \$65.00 surcharge)
This Return Receipt Postcard

的医医院的医院院的医院

SCD2/exw3 sf-1084308

RECEIVED BY THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FIXED FEE ENTERED

#### Attorney.

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "FIRST CLASS MAIL"

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on May \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2001.

C. Wilson

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Kent L. GILSON

Serial No.:

09/747,602

Filing Date:

December 22, 2000

For:

**VIVA** 

Group Art Unit: To Be Assigned

Examiner: To Be Assigned

**FAX RECEIVED** 

JUL - 6 2001

PETITIONS OFFICE

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(e)
TO SHOW RECEIPT OF ITEM IN RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF
INCOMPLETE NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

#### **BOX MISSING PARTS**

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In complete response to the Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) dated March 7, 2001, attached please find:

- Fee Transmittal for 2001 (1 page);
- Copy of Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application sent to Applicant in the above-referenced patent application on March 7, 2001 (2 pages);
- Declaration signed by the inventor (2 pages);
- Petition Under 37 CFR 1.53(e) to Show Receipt of Item in Response to Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application (4 pages);

- Declaration of Stephen C. Durant in Support of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.53(e) to Show Receipt of Item in Response to Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application and and accompanying Exhibit 1 (copy of Express Mail Receipt for USSN 09/747,602), and Exhibit 2 (copy of Express Mail Receipt for U.S. Provisional No. 60/258,112); (5 pages);
- Declaration of Donalyn Pryor in Support of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.53(e) to Show Receipt of Item in Response to Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application (3 pages);
- Declaration of Charmayne Wilson in Support of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.53(e) to Show Receipt of Item in Response to Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application (3 pages);
- Copy of Postcard dated December 22, 2000, copy of Returned Express
  Mail Receipt dated December 22, 2001, copy of Check No. 5112300, copy
  of Utility Patent Application Transmittal, and Specification (148 pages);
- Return Receipt Postcard.
- The Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge \$195.00 (\$130.00 petition fee and \$65.00 surcharge fee) and any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 that may be required by this transmittal and associated documents, or to credit any overpayment to <u>Deposit Account No. 03-1952</u> referencing docket no. 404332000200.

Dated: May 1, 2001

Respectfully submitted

Stephen C. Durant

Registration No. 31,506

Morrison & Foerster LLP

425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105-2482

Telephone: (415) 268-6982 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522





#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 80231 \*\*\*\* rebision

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING/RECEIPT DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER

rage 1 of 2

09/747,602

12/22/2000

Kent Gilson

404332000200

**CONFIRMATION NO. 8247** 

FORMALITIES LETTER

Stephen C. Durant Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2482

FAX RECEIVED

JUL - 6 2001

**PETITIONS OFFICE** 

Date Mailed: 03/07/2001

### NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

FILED UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(b)

A filling date has NOT been accorded to the above-identified application papers for the reason(s) indicated below.

All of the items noted below and a newly executed oath or declaration covering the items must be submitted within TWO MONTHS of the date of this Notice, unless otherwise indicated, or proceedings on the application will be terminated (37 CFR 1.53(e)).

The filing date will be the date of receipt of all items required below, unless otherwise indicated. Any assertions that the item(s) required below were submitted, or are not necessary for a filing date, must be by way of petition directed to the attention of the Office of Petitions accompanied by the \$130.00 petition fee (37 CFR 1.17(i)). If the petition states that the application is entitled to a filing date, a request for a refund of the petition fee may be included in the petition.

- The specification is missing.
- The specification does not include at least one claim.

The required items noted below SHOULD be filed along with any items required above. The filing date of this nonprovisional application will be the date of receipt of the items required above. The items noted below must be filed no later than TWO MONTHS FROM THE FILING DATE ACCORDED THIS APPLICATION.

The oath or declaration is unsigned.

A copy of this notice MUST be returned with the reply.

Customer Service Center

Initial Patent Examination Division (703) 308-1202

NO. 955

6 2 of 2

PART 2 - COPY TO BE RETURNED WITH RESPONSE

1. 🗷

(5)195.00

SUBTOTAL (3)

Ved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 081-3003

U.S. Patent : Jernart Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork As Jetton Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection or Jernart Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork As Jetton Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection or Jetton Unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL **FOR FY 2001** 

Complete if Known 09/747,602 Application Number December 22, 2006 Filing Date FAX RECEIVED Kent L. GILSON First Named Inventor JUL - 6 2001 To Be Assigned Examiner Name Group Art Unit To Be Assigned ETHIONS OFFICE

Patent fees are subject to annual revision. 404332000200 (\$)195.00 Attorney Docket No. TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT FEE CALCULATION (continued) METHOD OF PAYMENT The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overpayments to: 3. ADDITIONAL FEES Smali Endly EMBIN Large Fee Code Fee (\$) Fee Paid Fee (\$) Fee Code 03-1952 Fee Description Number

7	cconu;	ÌМ	ornison 8	L Foerste	er LLP		1.	105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	\$ 65.00	1
	Name					127	50	227	25	Surcharge - iste provisional filling lee or cover sheet				
Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17  Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27							139	130	139	130	Non-English specification		1	
							139	134	,,,,		For filing a request for ex parte		1	
_							1	147	2,520	147	2,620	regramination		4
		_			Money Ord	ا بما	Other	112	920*	112	820"	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		]
3 (	Check	0 0	Credit Ca							113	1,840*	Reducating publication of SIR after		
FEE CALCULATION								113	1,840*			Examiner action Extension for raply within first month		1
_	BASIC F	IL ING	FEE					115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within second		1
•	BASIU I	- 10 11 4 C						116	390	216	195	לוחסיים		4
	Large	Entity	Small	Entity			İ	117	068	217	445	Extension for reply within third		j
	F <del></del>	Fee (\$)	Pep Code	F== (\$)	Fee Description		Fee PAId	117				Extension for reply within fourth		٦.
	Cade	(*/		(4)			/	118	1,390	218	695	month		4
					Utility filing fee			125	1,890	228	845	Extension for reply within fifth month		-1
	101	710	201	355				119	310	219	155	Notice of Appeal		4
	108	320	206	160	Design filing for		1	120	310	220	155	Filing a brief in support of an appeal		4
	107	490	207	245	Plant filing fee			121	270	221	138	Request for oral hearing		┥ .
	108	710	208	355	Reiseue filing				1.510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use	ì	1
	114	150	214	75	189	""		138	••		55	proceeding Petition to revive - unevoidable		_
								140	110	240 241	52 <b>6</b>	Patition to revive - unintentional		
				ξU	BTOTAL (1)			141	1,240	241			=	7
			4 FEEE					142	1,240	242	620	Utility issue fee (or reissue)		┪
2.	EXINA	CLA	M FEES	Ethn	Fee from		Fee Paid	143	440	243	220	Design Issue fee	<u> </u>	-
				Claims 0	i below	•	50.00	144	600	244	300	Plant issue flat	\$130.00	ヿ
	i Cizima pendent	20	- 20 =	-	- *	_	80,00	122	130	122	130	Petitions of the Commissioner	5130,00	4
Clai		3	.3 ¥	0			-		44	123	150	Petitions related to provisional	ļ	1
Mili	tiple Dep	ondent	<b>:</b>				\$4700	123	50	123		applications Submission of information		ヿ
M =	(I) I I I							128	180	126	180	Disclosura Simt		_
								'				Institutions are nation assignment		H
	Large	Entity	8mail	Entity F##	Fan Destatat	lon.		581	40	581	40	per properties (times number of properties)	1	╛
	Fan Cade	Feet (S)	Pen Corde	(a)	, =			1				Filing a submission after final		7
		<b>\-</b> '		***				148	710	246	155	miaction	1	- [
	183	18	202	9	Claime in 🖘	<b>بر آب بسط</b>	•	146	710	,		(37 CFR § 1,129(a))		-
								148	710	249	355	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))		_
	102	80	702	40	•		estimate of 3		, , -		355	Request for Continued Examination		1
	104	270	204	135	Multiple de	painterit d	Died son il emissi	179	710	279	320	(RCE) Request for expedited examination	-	ヿ
	100	80	250	40	المواجأت		e deine over	169	900	169	900	of a design application		لـ
		18	210	•	Their state of the	talma in «	pionee of 20 and	-						$\supset$
	110	16	6.4	-				I	F					_

SUSTOTAL (2)

	SUBTUIAL (a)		"Reduced by Basic Filing Fo	e Pald \$195.00	SUBTOTAL		
or unimper bies foreit be	sid, if greater; For releases, see above.	<del>/</del>			Complete (If a	pálicable)	
SUBMITTED BY		<del>//</del> -	V Registration No.	31,508	Telephone	(415) 288-7000	
Name (PrintType)			(Altomey/Agent)		Dete	May 7, 2001	
Sign of time	- 12 THUM 5-5	WOZ		and a second			

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information about in the included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2018.

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "FIRST CLASS MAIL"

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on May \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2001.

C. Wilson

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Kent L. GILSON

Serial No.:

09/747,602

Filing Date:

December 22, 2000

For:

VIVA

Group Art Unit: To Be Assigned

Examiner: To Be Assigned

**FAX RECEIVED** 

JUL - 6 2001

**PETITIONS OFFICE** 

# PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(e) TO SHOW RECEIPT OF ITEM IN RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir or Madam:

The attached Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application was mailed to Applicant in the above-captioned patent application on March 7, 2001. The Notice provides that the specification and the specification with at least one claim was omitted when the above-captioned patent application was filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

With this petition, Applicant respectfully asserts that a specification with at least one claim, which is enclosed, was included with the application on pages 1-143, including two pages which were both labeled page 85, when filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on December 22, 2000. In addition, 99 pages of drawings were included with the application. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the entire specification on pages 1-143, including two pages labeled page 85 be afforded a filing

date of December 22, 2000, along with the 99 pages of drawings. Under Section 503 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), a returned, un-annotated postcard is prima-facie evidence that all the items properly identified and itemized on the postcard were received by the Patent and Trademark office on the date stamped on the postcard (Office Date stamp).

Enclosed is a photocopy of the postcard filed with the application which itemizes and properly identifies the components filed with the application. Specifically, the postcard identifies a specification and one page of claims and there is no annotation on the postcard noting that these items were not received. Also, the postcard bears an Office Date stamp showing that the application papers were filed on December 22, 2000. Thus, the returned, un-annotated postcard provides prima-facie evidence of receipt in the Patent and Trademark Office of the specification with at least one claim of the above-captioned application.

Further, the Express Mail receipt (copy enclosed) indicates that the weight of the package was indicated as 2 lbs. 9 ounces. The complete patent application that is in our file weighs approximately the same amount. The weight of the application without the specification on page 1-85 is only 1 lb. and 15 ounces. (See declaration of Charmayne Wilson). Therefore, this indicates that the package that was mailed contained the entire specification on pages 1-143 the 99 pages of drawings.

In addition, a new Provisional Patent Application with identical contents absent one claim was filed on the same day. The Express Mail Receipt for this Express Mail envelope notes the weight as 2 lbs. 10 ounces. A copy of the file history for this application (Serial No. 60/258,112 contains pages 1-85 of the specification (see Declaration of Charmayne Wilson). The weight of the two packages sent was approximately the same, serving as further evidence that the non-provisional application sent contained a specification.

As further proof of receipt in the Patent and Trademark Office of the specification with at least one claim, Applicant's legal representative, Morrison & Foerster, LLP, has a standard procedure which is followed when filing a new patent application. Specifically,

Applicant's legal representative makes an exact photocopy of the application as filed just prior to placing the application in an Express Mail envelope and forwarding the envelope to the PTO. The photocopy of the application is then filed in the center section of a trifold file which is marked with client identification information.

As attested to in the attached Declarations of Stephen C. Durant, Donalyn Pryor and Charmayne Wilson in support of this petition, all of whom prepared and filed the application in the present case, this procedure was followed in the filing of the present application. Specifically, Charmayne Wilson declares that she generated an original copy of the specification, produced an exact photocopy thereof, and placed the photocopy in the center section of a tri-fold file folder marked with client identification information. Specifically, Donalyn Pryor declares that she placed the original specification in an Express Mail envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner of Patents.

Additionally, Stephen C. Durant declares that he accepted this envelope from Donalyn Pryor and deposited it with the U.S. Postal Service on December 22, 2000.

Further, as attested to in the attached declaration of Stephen C. Durant in support of this petition, the tri-fold file used by Applicant's representative in this case contains includes a specification on pages labeled 1 to 143, with two pages labeled page 85, and one page of claims on the page labeled 143.

Finally, after placing the photocopy of the application in the center section of the tri-fold file folder, the photocopy of the application was not removed therefrom or otherwise tampered with by Donalyn Pryor and Charmayne Wilson, or Stephen C. Durant from the time the application was filed to the time that Stephen C. Durant checked the photocopy of the application for the presence of the specification with at least one claim, and, these are the only three people employed by Applicants representatives who have had any connection with the filing of the present application. Thus, there is no reason for any other person to have tampered in any way with the photocopy of the application or the tri-fold file folder in general.

In sum, the photocopy of the application in the tri-fold file folder is an exact photocopy of the specification sent to the PTO. Further, the photocopy contains a specification with at least one claim. Finally, the photocopy of the application was not

tampered with in any way between the time that it was placed in the tri-fold file folder and the time it was checked for completeness. Accordingly, the complete application, including the specification with at least one claim, must have been included in the envelope to the PTO.

As explained above, and as shown by the enclosed documents, Applicant respectfully asserts that the specification with at least one claim was mailed to the patent trademark office on December 22, 2000. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the entire specification, labeled pages 1-143 with two pages labeled 85, be accorded a filing date of December 22, 2000.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Assistant Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to <a href="Deposit Account No. 03-1952">Deposit Account No. 03-1952</a> referencing docket no. <a href="404432000200">404432000200</a>. However, the Assistant Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: May 7, 2001

Respectfully submitted

By: 🔏

Stephen C. Durant Registration No. 31,506

Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105-2482

Telephone: (415) 268-6982 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Kent L. GILSON

Serial No.:

09/747,602

Filing Date:

December 22, 2000

For:

VIVA

Group Art Unit: To Be Assigned

Examiner: To Be Assigned

**FAX RECEIVED** 

JUL - 6 2001

PETITIONS OFFICE

DECLARATION OF DONALYN PRYOR IN SUPPORT OF PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(e) TO SHOW RECEIPT OF ITEM IN RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir or Madam:

I, Donalyn Pryor, hereby declare that:

I am employed by the law firm of Morrison & Foerster, LLP, having offices at 425 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 (Morrison & Foerster). Morrison & Foerster is Applicant's legal representatives in the above-captioned matter. I work assisting Stephen C. Durant, the prosecuting attorney of record in the above-captioned matter. I also work with Charmayne Wilson, another employee of Morrison & Foerster, LLP who assists Stephen C. Durant.

Prior to filing any patent application in the United States Patent and Trademark

Office (PTO), it is the policy and procedure of Morrison & Foerster to print out a

complete copy of the specification of a patent application, make an exact photocopy of

the complete original specification, along with any drawings and other formal papers, and

file the photocopy in the center section of a tri-fold file folder marked with client identification information. The original specification, along with any drawings and other formal papers, is then placed in an Express Mail envelope the envelope is sealed, and the application is deposited with the U.S. postal service by the person named on, and signing, the Certificate of Express Mailing included with the patent application.

I consistently follow this procedure when preparing and filing United States patent applications.

I followed this procedure on December 22, 2000 when preparing and filing the above-captioned patent application. Specifically, I received the original specification, along with the drawings and other formal papers from Charmayne Wilson. I placed the original specification, along with the drawings, a return receipt post card, and transmittal letter, in an express mail envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner of Patents at the PTO. I then provided this envelope to Stephen C. Durant, identifying it as the above-captioned patent application.

Since filing the photocopy of the application in the center section of the tri-fold file folder, I have not removed the photocopy of the application therefrom or otherwise tampered with or added any pages to the photocopy of the application. Additionally, Charmayne Wilson and I have had primary responsibility for the tri-fold file folder from the time the application was filed. To the best of my knowledge, the tri-fold file folder has remained in the offices of Morrison & Foerster since the time the application was filed.

Also, on December 22, 2000, I prepared a new Provisional Patent Application with identical contents absent one claim. The Express Mail Receipt for this Express Mail envelope notes the weight as 2 lbs. 10 ounces.

I further declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to by true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Assistant Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to <u>Deposit Account No. 03-1952</u> referencing docket no. <u>404332000200</u>. However, the Assistant Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: May \_\_\_\_\_, 2001

Ву

3

Attorney let?

\_et No. 404332000200

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Kent L. GILSON

Serial No.:

09/747,602

Filing Date:

December 22, 2000

For:

VIVA

Group Art Unit: To Be Assigned

Examiner: To Be Assigned

FAX RECEIVED

JUL - 6 2001

PETITIONS OFFICE

DECLARATION OF STEPHEN C. DURANT IN SUPPORT OF PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(e) TO SHOW RECEIPT OF ITEM IN RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir or Madam:

I, Stephen Durant, declare that:

I am a partner with the law firm of Morrison & Foerster, LLP., having offices at 425 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 (Morrison & Foerster). Morrison & Foerster is Applicant's legal representative in the above-captioned matter.

On December 22, 2000, I accepted an Express Mail envelope from Charmayne Wilson, my patent assistant, which was identified as containing the above-identified patent application. Also on December 22, 2000, I deposited this same envelope with the U.S. Postal Service. I witnessed the Postal Clerk weigh the envelope and complete the Express Mail Receipt noting the weight as 2 lbs. 9 ounces, copy attached as Exhibit 1. Also, on December 22, 2000, I witnessed the Postal Clerk weigh the Express Mail

Attorney : cet No. 404332000200

envelope for U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 60/258,112 Express Mail Receipt noting the weight as 2 lbs. 10 ounces, copy attached as Exhibit 2.

I am aware that Charmayne Wilson, my patent assistant, prepared a photocopy of the present patent application as filed and attached it to the center section of a tri-fold file folder marked with client identification information. I have reviewed the photocopy of the above-referenced patent application attached to the center section of a tri-fold file folder marked with client identifying information and retained by Morrison and Foerster. Prior to my review of the photocopy of the application for purposes of making this declaration, I did not handle in any way the tri-fold file folder or photocopy of the application attached thereto.

The photocopy of the specification of the patent application includes a specification on pages 1 to 143, with two pages labeled page 85, and a page of claims on the page labeled 143.

Charmayne Wilson, Donalyn Pryor and myself are the only people employed by Morrison & Foerster who were involved with the preparation and filing of the present application. I know of no reason why any other person employed by Morrison & Foerster would have handled the photocopy of the patent application in the tri-fold file folder.

I further declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to by true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Assistant Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to <u>Deposit Account No. 03-1952</u> referencing docket no. <u>404332000200</u>. However, the Assistant Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: May 2, 2001

Respectfully submitted

Stephen C. Durant

Registration No. 31,506

Morrison & Foerster LLP

425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105-2482

Telephone: (415) 268-6982 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Kent L. GILSON

Serial No.:

09/747,602

Filing Date:

December 22, 2000

For:

VIVA

Group Art Unit: To Be Assigned

Examiner: To Be Assigned

FAX RECEIVED

JUL - 6 2001

PETITIONS OFFICE

DECLARATION OF CHARMAYNE WILSON IN SUPPORT OF PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(e) TO SHOW RECEIPT OF ITEM IN RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir or Madam:

I, Charmayne Wilson, hereby declare that:

I am employed by the law firm of Morrison & Foerster, LLP, having offices at 425 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 (Morrison & Foerster). Morrison & Foerster is Applicant's legal representatives in the above-captioned matter. I work assisting Stephen C. Durant, the prosecuting attorney of record in the above-captioned matter. I also work with Donalyn Pryor, another employee of Morrison & Foerster, LLP who assists Stephen C. Durant.

Prior to filing any patent application in the United States Patent and Trademark

Office (PTO), it is the policy and procedure of Morrison & Foerster to print out a

complete copy of the specification of a patent application, make an exact photocopy of

the complete original specification, along with any drawings and other formal papers, and

file the photocopy in the center section of a tri-fold file folder marked with client identification information. The original specification, along with any drawings and other formal papers, is then placed in an Express Mail envelope the envelope is sealed, and the application is deposited with the U.S. postal service by the person named on, and signing, the Certificate of Express Mailing included with the patent application.

I consistently follow this procedure when preparing and filing United States patent applications.

I followed this procedure on December 22, 2000 when preparing and filing the above-captioned patent application. Specifically, I printed out an original copy of the specification, I photocopied the application, including the specification and drawings, and placed the photocopy of the application in the center section of a tri-fold file folder marked with client identification information. I then handed the original specification, along with the drawings, and other formal documents to Donalyn Pryor.

Also, on December 22, 2000, I prepared a new Provisional Patent Application with identical contents absent one claim. The Express Mail Receipt for this Express Mail envelope notes the weight as 2 lbs. 10 ounces. I obtained a copy of the file history for this provisional patent application (Serial No. 60/258,112), and it contains a specification of pages 1-85.

Since filing the photocopy of the application in the center section of the tri-fold file folder, I have not removed the photocopy of the application therefrom or otherwise tampered with or added any pages to the photocopy of the application. However, I since then removed the patent application that was in our patent file to determine the weight of the application as approximately 2 lbs. 13.6 ounces. In addition, I weighed the application without pages 1-85 of the specification, and the weight was 1 lb. and 15 ounces.

Additionally, Donalyn Pryor and I have had primary responsibility for the tri-fold file folder from the time the application was filed. To the best of my knowledge, the tri-

fold file folder has remained in the offices of Morrison & Foerster since the time the application was filed.

I further declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to by true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Assistant Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to <u>Deposit Account No. 03-1952</u> referencing docket no. <u>404332000200</u>. However, the Assistant Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: May 7, 2001

Rv

Charmayne Wilson

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Kent L. GILSON

Serial No.:

09/747,602

Filing Date:

December 22, 2000

For:

VIVA

Group Art Unit: To Be Assigned

Examiner: To Be Assigned

#### DECLARATION OF DONALYN PRYOR IN SUPPORT OF PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(e) TO SHOW RECEIPT OF ITEM IN RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

FAX RECEIVED

JUL - 6 2001

Dear Sir or Madam:

PETITIONS OFFICE

I, Donalyn Pryor, hereby declare that:

I am employed by the law firm of Morrison & Foerster, LLP, having offices at 425 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 (Morrison & Foerster). Morrison & Foerster is Applicant's legal representatives in the above-captioned matter. I work assisting Stephen C. Durant, the prosecuting attorney of record in the above-captioned matter. I also work with Charmayne Wilson, another employee of Morrison & Foerster, LLP who assists Stephen C. Durant.

Prior to filing any patent application in the United States Patent and Trademark

Office (PTO), it is the policy and procedure of Morrison & Foerster to print out a

complete copy of the specification of a patent application, make an exact photocopy of

the complete original specification, along with any drawings and other formal papers, and

file the photocopy in the center section of a tri-fold file folder marked with client identification information. The original specification, along with any drawings and other formal papers, is then placed in an Express Mail envelope the envelope is sealed, and the application is deposited with the U.S. postal service by the person named on, and signing, the Certificate of Express Mailing included with the patent application.

I consistently follow this procedure when preparing and filing United States patent applications.

I followed this procedure on December 22, 2000 when preparing and filing the above-captioned patent application. Specifically, I received the original specification, along with the drawings and other formal papers from Charmayne Wilson. I placed the original specification, along with the drawings, a return receipt post card, and transmittal letter, in an express mail envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner of Patents at the PTO. I then provided this envelope to Stephen C. Durant, identifying it as the above-captioned patent application.

Since filing the photocopy of the application in the center section of the tri-fold file folder, I have not removed the photocopy of the application therefrom or otherwise tampered with or added any pages to the photocopy of the application. Additionally, Charmayne Wilson and I have had primary responsibility for the tri-fold file folder from the time the application was filed. To the best of my knowledge, the tri-fold file folder has remained in the offices of Morrison & Foerster since the time the application was filed.

Also, on December 22, 2000, I prepared a new Provisional Patent Application with identical contents absent one claim. The Express Mail Receipt for this Express Mail envelope notes the weight as 2 lbs. 10 ounces.

I further declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to by true; and further that these

statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Assistant Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. 03-1952 referencing docket no. 404332000200. However, the Assistant Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

3